

## **Is the Expression of Stereotypic Behavior a Performance Limiting Factor in Animals**

Williams, Jane; Randle, Hayley

*Published in:*

Journal of Veterinary Behavior: Clinical Applications and Research

*Publication date:*

2017

*The re-use license for this item is:*

CC BY-NC-ND

*This document version is the:*

Peer reviewed version

*The final published version is available direct from the publisher website at:*  
[10.1016/j.jveb.2017.02.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2017.02.006)

**Find this output at Hartpury Pure**

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Williams, J., & Randle, H. (2017). Is the Expression of Stereotypic Behavior a Performance Limiting Factor in Animals. *Journal of Veterinary Behavior: Clinical Applications and Research*, 20, 1-10.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jveb.2017.02.006>

1 **Is the Expression of Stereotypic Behavior a Performance Limiting Factor in Animals?**

2 Jane Williams<sup>a\*</sup> and Hayley Randle<sup>b</sup>

3 <sup>a</sup>Performance in Equestrian Sport Group, Animal Science Department, Hartpury University  
4 Centre, Hartpury College, Gloucester, UK, GL19 3BE.

5 <sup>b</sup>School of Animal and Veterinary Science, Charles Sturt University, Pine Gully Road,  
6 Wagga Wagga 2650, New South Wales, Australia.

7 \*Corresponding author: email: jane.williams@hartpury.ac.uk, telephone: +44 (0) 1452  
8 706240; fax: +44 (0) 1452 700629

9

10 *Abstract*

11 Stereotypical behavior (STB) has been observed in a wide range of species regardless of its  
12 classification. Despite extensive research into factors which contribute to the aetiology of  
13 STB and/or influence the expression of STB, few studies have explicitly evaluated if  
14 relationships exist between stereotypical behavior and performance variables in livestock or  
15 equine athletes. This review explores the impact of STBs on animal performance, using the  
16 horse and production animals as examples, to establish whether their expression should be  
17 viewed as a positive or negative attribute by the animal industry. Emergent themes within  
18 livestock and equine research suggest that individuals that exhibit STBs also demonstrate  
19 impaired performance attributes which supports the proposal that STB is a negative  
20 characteristic. Much of the empirical evidence available suggests negative environmental  
21 stressors represent a greater risk to the economic value of animals compared to STB. Within  
22 equestrianism, stereotypic performing horses appear to react and learn in a different way to  
23 non-stereotypic horses, which, in professional hands, could enhance their performance

24 potential and value, but with amateur riders could reinforce the negative associations that  
25 exist. However performance is a complex phenomenon with any species and multiple  
26 endogenous and exogenous factors will contribute to success at any one time. Further  
27 research is required that explicitly explores how different STBs influence performance  
28 variables alongside consideration of the effect of management systems and environmental  
29 stressors, and their role in STB expression in both livestock and horses.

30

31 Keywords: livestock, equine athlete, performance, production, abnormal behavior.

32

### 33 Highlights

34 1. Few studies have explored the relationships between stereotypies and performance.

35 2. Stereotypical behavior reduces the economic value of livestock and equine athletes.

36 3. Stereotypical behavior appears to negatively impact production factors in livestock.

37 4. Stereotypies in the professionally managed horse, translate to enhanced performance.

38 5. More research evaluating the impact of stereotypies on animal performance is needed.

## 40 **Introduction**

41 Stereotypical behaviour (STB) has been observed in a wide range of species, regardless of  
42 their classification, including livestock (eg. Adenkola and Ayo, 2010) and companion  
43 animals (dogs (Protopopova et al., 2014), parrots (Cussen and Mench, 2015), rodents (Novak  
44 et al., 2015) and horses (Albright et al. 2015)). STBs are also reported in zoo animals  
45 including animals housed in managed environments (Padalino et al., 2014; Shepherdson et  
46 al., 2013) and those kept in more natural environments such as in extensive game parks  
47 (Kiley-Worthington and Randle, 2005). Both groups of these non-domesticated animals  
48 require periodic management for health and veterinary treatment or to facilitate human-  
49 animal (paying visitor) interaction (Randle and Kiley-Worthington, 2005). STB can occur in  
50 a wide range of ages. They have been noted to occur from birth (Latham and Mason, 2008)  
51 as has been reported in horses (e.g. Wickens and Houpt 2015) through to old age (Qi et al.,  
52 2008), although for some species key risk times have been identified. Mason and Rushen  
53 (2008) highlight that horses/foals are at the greatest risk of developing a new form of  
54 stereotypic behavior between 15 and 35 weeks, and that emergence of new stereotypies peaks  
55 at 40 weeks.

56 The expression of STBs in non-human animals is often considered a visual indicator of  
57 response to environmental (Averos et al., 2014; Hemmings and Hale, 2013; Shepherdson et  
58 al. 2013) or psychological stressors (Gottlieb et al., 2013; Pomerantz et al., 2012; McBride  
59 and Mills, 2012), and can also be influenced by an individual's temperament (Shepherdson et  
60 al., 2013) and personality (Ijichi et al., 2013). STBs are thought to indirectly reflect the  
61 welfare status of animals by some (e.g., Mason and Rushen, 2008). Gottlieb et al. (2013)  
62 warn that individual behavior expression cannot necessarily be used to assess welfare

63 between subjects because some individuals may express high rates of stereotypic behavior  
64 due to frustration (in the sense of not being able to gain access to a resource that may be present  
65 in the animal's environment), whilst others may do so in order to cope with a suboptimal  
66 environment (i.e. an environment that does not provide all the animal's basic requirements).

67 Many of the associations proposed between STB and negative performance variables, such as  
68 increased injury risk in horses that weave or reduced milk yields in cattle, are often not  
69 supported by evidence of causal relationships and are largely based on assumption. This  
70 review aims to establish the impact of STB on animal performance, using production animals  
71 and performance horses as examples, to establish whether the evidence supports if their  
72 expression should be viewed as a positive or negative attribute by the animal industry.

73

#### 74 *Stress*

75 Stereotypical behaviour is often associated with stress in animals. Stress is defined as a  
76 *biological response elicited when an individual perceives a threat to its homeostasis and the*  
77 *threat that causes stress is referred to as a stressor (Moberg, 2000), the inability of animals*  
78 *to cope with their environment (Broom and Johnson, 1993) and unfitness to adapt to the*  
79 *environment and reproduce effectively (Ewing et al., 1999). Stressors may be positive or*  
80 *eustressors (e.g. hormones which trigger arousal/mating behavior) or negative, known as*  
81 *distressors (e.g. restricted environment which does not facilitate expression of normal*  
82 *behaviors). Stressors are detected by animals' sensory systems to seemingly produce an*  
83 *instantaneous biological response which may or may not be externally observable (von Borell*  
84 *et al., 2007). Biological reactions depend upon the recognition of the features of a stressor*  
85 *and elicit a neurophysiological response which typically comprises cognitive and non-*  
86 *cognitive elements, and include behavioral, autonomic, neuroendocrinological and/or*

87 immunological responses (Ichiji et al., 2013). The precise nature and duration of responses to  
88 stress depend on the nature of the stressor. A stimulus/situation that is perceived (cognitive  
89 element) as a short term threat is characterised by Sympathetic Adrenal Medullary system  
90 (SAM) and Central Nervous System (CNS) activity resulting in release of the epinephrine  
91 neurotransmitter which prepares the body for action. Conversely, a stimulus/situation that is  
92 perceived (cognitive element) as a longer term threat is characterised by responses indicative  
93 of long term challenge and the initiation of a coping mechanism. In this situation the  
94 hypothalamic–pituitary–adrenocortical (HPA) stress-response system is activated and results  
95 in a sustained production of glucocorticoids and mineralocorticoids which are known to  
96 enable proactive coping. Once an individual is sufficiently ‘*stressed*’ the HPA-axis becomes  
97 more sensitive and more easily triggered by stressors. This is accompanied by high  
98 sympathetic reactivity resulting in increased concentrations of catecholamines and elevated  
99 parasympathetic reactivity and as a consequence impacts on individual animal performance  
100 (von Borell et al., 2007).

101 Stress is broadly understood by both scientists and lay persons to be characterised by the  
102 outcomes or responses given by animals to a series of stressors. Stressors include various  
103 aspects of the animal’s internal and/or external environment that are compromising  
104 homeostasis either physically and/or psychologically, and causing a disruption to what is  
105 considered to be ‘normal’ for that species/breed/individual (Levine, 1985). Furthermore  
106 Levine (1985) amongst others emphasized that various measures of an individual suffering  
107 from stress are often conflicting, for example behavioral indicators and heart rate variability.  
108 Smith et al. (2016) proposed that heart rate correlates with behavioral indices of stress in  
109 horses. Although behaviors assumed to be related to stress were seen more frequently when  
110 subjects encountered negative stimuli than with positive ones, heart rate responses did not  
111 follow the same pattern. It is reasonable to suggest that Moberg’s view that ‘stress’ was

112 better described as a syndrome (a group of symptoms or signs that commonly appear  
113 together) in which the visible response/s may represent varying combinations of causes  
114 remains wholly applicable. Rightly or wrongly ‘stress’ is often implicated in the aetiology of  
115 STB regardless of the species under examination and is commonly attributed, at least in part,  
116 to deficiencies in general husbandry and management (mainly lack of space and direct  
117 contact with conspecifics, e.g., Varadharajan et al., 2015) and/or to specific stressors within  
118 the environments in which they/individuals are housed (e.g., Shepherdson et al., 2013;  
119 Romero et al., 2015). The critical role of stress in the development of resilience in  
120 individuals enabling them to cope with the various challenges encountered in the course of  
121 daily life, particularly those related to their physical-, and of increased concern, their social-  
122 environment is emphasized by Romero et al. (2015). The expression of STB may be one way  
123 of coping with such challenges.

#### 124 *Behavior*

125 Stereotypies are often described as abnormal behaviors. Behavior can be broadly described as  
126 ‘actions or reactions of an individual in response to a particular situation or stimulus’ (for  
127 example Grier 1984 cited by King et al., 2012) or more simply ‘anything an individual does’,  
128 although it has also been acknowledged that the term behavior also applies when there is no  
129 visible change in behavior, that is, no observable response (Randle, 1995). Although  
130 methods of observing, recording and analysing behavior vary substantially, frequently the  
131 first sign of illness is detected through observation of changes in the ‘normal’ behavior of an  
132 individual (Grandin, 2015).

133 There are many arguments about the status and indeed importance of the exhibition of natural  
134 behavior for species that are now under the direct management of humans. Whilst studies of  
135 individuals within the natural environments in which they evolved are useful for determining

136 and assessing if the behavioral needs of the species are met, account must also be taken of the  
137 restrictions associated with the modern-day environments in which animals/individuals are  
138 kept and expected to perform. Compliance with the Five Freedoms/Five Needs ensures that  
139 individual domesticated animals behavioral needs are considered at the very least (Brambell  
140 Report, 1965; Animal Welfare Act 2006). The main measures of environmental adequacy  
141 focus on the occurrence of so called natural behaviors (without having an adverse effect on  
142 conspecifics and herd-mates; Randle, 1995; Kiley-Worthington, 1990) and the absence of  
143 behaviors commonly believed to be indicative of stress including STBs.

144 In this paper the horse is used as a frequent example as a prey species, known to roam  
145 extended distances daily, to spend the majority of the day grazing and to be social, that has  
146 been subjected to what can only be considered to be extensive - severe restriction being  
147 housed individually and often for extended periods of time. The gravity of this restriction has  
148 been recently recognised in Switzerland where daily turn out for horses is now mandatory  
149 and group housing strongly recommended (Swiss Animal Protection Organisation, 2016).

150

### 151 *Performance*

152 Performance has multiple definitions, including *how well an individual does a piece of work*  
153 *or an activity* (Cambridge online dictionary and thesaurus, 2010), *the action or process of*  
154 *performing a task or function... capability of an entity... task or operation seen in terms of*  
155 *how successfully it is performed* (Oxford English Dictionary, 2016) or the *identification of*  
156 *specific behaviors (actions) and specific performance outcomes (goals)* (Williams, 2013;  
157 McGarry, 2009), and relates to humans expectations of horses (Randle, 2015). Most species  
158 are expected to demonstrate performance in one way or another, for example livestock  
159 species are required/forced to breed regularly, usually on an annual or often more frequent



160 basis, produce milk, meat and/or fibre depending on the commodity and consumer demand.  
161 Zoo species are required to be able to cope with living in a fundamentally unnatural  
162 environment, tolerate close proximity with humans albeit usually ‘protected’ and to breed as  
163 part of worldwide *ex situ* conservation programmes (Caspermeyer, 2014).

164 For some species such as horses and dogs, performance may also be measured on an  
165 individual’s apparent ability to tolerate interaction with humans. For example breeds such as  
166 the Siamese cat, toy dogs and, to an extent, the Arabian horse, have been selectively bred to  
167 tolerate and even seemingly seek human contact. There are numerous anecdotal but learned  
168 sources that refer to Arabian horses as having “a good ability to form a cooperative  
169 relationship with humans” and being “willing to please”. Some breeds have been selectively  
170 developed to be able to perform other physical work related tasks such as draught work for  
171 example heavy horses (Drum et al., 2007). Traditionally South Devon cattle were triple  
172 purpose animals, being used for draught work in addition to producing meat and milk  
173 (Randle, 1995). Huskies are also used for sled work (Wayne and von Holdt, 2012). Other  
174 breeds have become fundamental to human sporting pursuits such as working and sporting  
175 dogs (Cobb et al., 2015) and horses within equestrian sport (Randle, 2015; Williams, 2015).

176 STB is often associated with reduced economic value in livestock (Bench et al., 2013) and  
177 animals used for sport (McBride and Hemmings, 2009) due to the perception that they are  
178 related to impaired performance. Historically, within the animal industry, the expression of  
179 stereotypical behavior has been considered a detrimental characteristic in livestock. For  
180 example Fraser et al. (2013) refer to the 10 ‘General Principles for the Welfare of Animals in  
181 Livestock Production Systems’ adopted by the World Organisation for Animal Health in  
182 2012 guide the development of animal welfare standards which include reference to STBs in  
183 this context.

184 Anecdotal suggestions also exist within the livestock industry relating expression of STB to  
185 the reduced economic value of production animals. Yet despite this, limited research has  
186 explicitly evaluated if this perception is accurate. In production animals STBs have been  
187 associated with reduced output such as milk yields in cows (Sutherland et al., 2012; Redbo et  
188 al., 1992), impaired growth performance measures such as decreased lean muscle mass and  
189 poor meat quality in pigs (Bench et al., 2013) and fleece quality (due to wool biting) in sheep  
190 (Cooper and Jackson, 1996). Similarly STBs have been associated with reproductive  
191 fecundity in pigs where an increase in occurrence of STBs is linked with a decrease in the  
192 number of live young produced over an individual sow's reproductive life time (von Borell et  
193 al., 2007). Therefore it is perhaps not surprising that the farmers assume that there is a lower  
194 economic value for production animals that exhibit STBs compared to their non-stereotypic  
195 counterparts.

196 The effect of STB on performance within animals used by humans for sporting pursuits is  
197 poorly understood. No studies have examined if STB explicitly affects the performance of  
198 sporting dogs; however, research has suggested that a link exists between behavioral  
199 measures of welfare and ability in guide dogs (Vincent and Leahy, 1997) and explosive-  
200 finding (search) dogs (Rooney et al., 2004). For example Cao et al. (2014) demonstrated in  
201 Belgian Malinois dogs that extreme circling behaviour, considered to be compulsive  
202 behavior, was an external indicator of superior performance. Identification of canine  
203 stereotypical behavior is uncommon amongst dog owners and within the canine industry  
204 generally, with owners more likely to consider their dog to be suffering from separation  
205 anxiety or some stress-related condition (Rooney et al., 2009). Interestingly Overall and  
206 Dunham (2002) reported canine incidence of stereotypical behaviour of 2% not dissimilar to  
207 in humans. More recent data are not available. In contrast in equestrianism there is a long  
208 established culture when selling horses which recognises equine STBs and classifies them as

209 an ‘unsoundness’, that is a negative performance characteristic with an associated reduction  
210 in economic value of between 31 and 59% for affected individuals (Krisová et al. 2015;  
211 McBride and Long, 2001). Because of the industry recognition and visible nature of equine  
212 STB research exploring why horses perform STBs, particularly those that are often linked to  
213 performance outputs, the horse represents a suitable model to examine the impact of STB on  
214 performance.

215

### 216 *Production Animals*

217 Within farming, environmental conditions such as stocking density and individual space  
218 (Aguayo-Ulloa et al., 2014; Averos et al., 2014), access to food and water (Bench et al.,  
219 2013; Redbo and Nordblad, 1997), and bedding type and quantity (Texiera et al., 2014;  
220 Tuttyens et al., 2005) have been demonstrated to cause stress and have been linked with  
221 variation in the expression of stereotypies across species. Each of these examples represent  
222 stressors which can induce an adaptive response (positive or negative) within individual  
223 animals to enable them to cope with their environment (Moberg, 2000; Broom and Johnson,  
224 1993). Adaption is thought to be influenced by an animal’s temperament or personality  
225 which will dictate if a reactive (passive response apparently not addressing the stressor or its  
226 impact) or proactive (active response attempting to remove the stressor or themselves from it)  
227 adaptation strategy is implemented (Figure 1) (Ichiji et al., 2013). Exposure to stressors  
228 stimulates a physiological stress response /responses which will depend on whether the  
229 stressor is positive (improves performance: motivates an animal to overcome the challenge  
230 presented, usually short-term) or negative (reduces performance: aversive, negative state  
231 where presenting challenges are not overcome, in neither the short or long-term) and the  
232 strategy the individual adopts towards it (Ichiji et al., 2013; von Borrell et al., 2007). In

233 response to a stressor or stressor animals may demonstrate behavioral, immunological or  
234 neuroendocrine changes (Figure 1) including increased expression of STB. It appears that the  
235 physiological responses shown by animals to stress can affect the common outputs by which  
236 production performance is measured, so the expression of STB has the potential to be used as  
237 viable welfare indicator in animals, with increased levels of STB synonymous with reduced  
238 welfare. For example increased stereotypy expression in sows has been shown to suppress  
239 estrus behavior and reduce sexual behavior, and has also been associated with lower piglet  
240 birth weight and the number of live births within litters when compared to non-stereotypic  
241 peers (von Borell and Hurnik, 1990).

242 Interestingly, the reduced reproductive status and fecundity measures observed by von Borell  
243 and Hurnik (1990) were attributed to higher levels of cortisol present in the reactive  
244 stereotypic pigs. High levels of cortisol have been shown to occur as a result of increased  
245 and sustained HPA activity (von Borell et al., 2007). Therefore the expression of stereotypies  
246 could also be considered to represent a visual measure of the neuroendocrine response to  
247 stress within production animals, with the resultant increase in cortisol production  
248 underpinning the reduced reproductive status observed. It could be argued that there is some  
249 truth in the assumption that (due to the physiological responses observed and their effects)  
250 reduced economic value may be associated with stereotypic livestock. Yet evidence also  
251 suggests that if the adverse effect of environmental stressors can be resolved, and a positive  
252 environment which meets animals' needs provided, stereotypic animals' fecundity would be  
253 improved and their economic value increased (von Borell et al., 2007).

254 In intensive production systems utilized in modern farming, there is the propensity to enhance  
255 the emergence and effect of negative environmental stressors within housing and  
256 management systems. These factors can then lead to an increase in the expression of STB and  
257 associated corticosteroid production in livestock. For example, sheep housed in intensive

258 systems for finishing (i.e., rearing to slaughter weight) are often kept in indoor pens with a  
259 higher stocking density compared to free ranging animals which are finished by grazing in  
260 paddocks (Llonch et al., 2006). Intensive systems have been associated with an increased  
261 incidence of STB (Aguayo-Ulloa et al., 2014) and redirected behaviors (Dwyer and Bornett,  
262 2004; Gougoulis et al., 2010) including wool biting and pulling, bar mouthing and biting, and  
263 pen chewing) suggesting the sheep are reacting to the chronic stress of the restricted and  
264 barren environment they inhabit (Fraser et al., 2013). This is not an ovine specific trait -  
265 similar increases in STB have been recorded in intensively housed pigs (for example: Averos  
266 et al., 2010) and poultry (for example: Lay et al., 2011). A similar behavior can be seen  
267 where horses run their teeth up and down metal bars comprise their stables (McGreevy et al.,  
268 1995).

269 While intensive systems can promote desirable production characteristics such as carcass  
270 homogeneity (Miranda de la Lama et al., 2010), they can also stimulate increased cortisol  
271 levels in animals due to chronic stress associated with their environment (Ichiji et al., 2013).  
272 Stereotypic animals will experience higher cortisol levels than their non-stereotypic  
273 counterparts (Freymond et al., 2015) and those with STB may be predisposed to react more  
274 during handling or when being transported to slaughter (Novak et al., 2015). Chronic stress  
275 has been shown to negatively affect meat quality and to reduce the economic value of a  
276 carcass (Bench et al., 2013; Fonseca et al., 2104). In pigs, chronic stress is associated with  
277 pale, soft and exudate meat rather than the preferred and higher quality dark, firm and dry  
278 meat (Adzitey and Nurul, 2011; Warriss et al., 1993). Similar properties have also been  
279 reported in equine carcasses after long and stressful transport journeys prior to slaughter  
280 (Lanza et al., 2009). Research suggests that negative environmental stressors pose a risk to  
281 all animals, e.g., increasing susceptibility to illness, and as such environments where such  
282 stressors are present should be considered likely to result in reduced economic value of

283 livestock, regardless of the expression of STB. Sufficient evidence exists within livestock  
284 research to suggest that links exist between the environment animals inhabit, how these  
285 environments are managed, the expression of STB and production performance measures  
286 (Bench et al., 2013; von Borell et al., 2007). However it appears that managing the  
287 environments which the animals inhabit in order to reduce stress is the key factor in reducing  
288 variables that adversely affect performance and production, rather than simply focusing on  
289 STB, per se (Waran and Randle, 2017). In this interpretation the presence of STB indicates a  
290 problematic environment for the livestock. Stereotypic animals will react more to stressors,  
291 in general, within their environment and this pattern may underlie the negative association  
292 between STB and economic value of livestock. When farmers observe STB in their livestock  
293 which are subsequently sold for less money than those without STB, the potential to  
294 perpetuate the idea that stereotypic animals represent an inferior economic investment  
295 compared to non-stereotypic animals exist and releases the farmer from an obligation to  
296 further examine the putative environmental contributors over which he has control. This  
297 anecdotal view of STB animals could represent a lack of understanding within the livestock  
298 industry of how management systems can positively or negatively affect welfare parameters,  
299 including expression of STB. Future studies are required that explicitly explore the  
300 relationships between STBs and different management systems, and how these influence the  
301 expression of stereotypies and production measures such as milk yield, reproduction and  
302 meat quality to inform farming practices.

303

#### 304 *Sporting animals: the horse*

305 At the present time there are 944,000 horses in Great Britain (BETA, 2015). Substantial  
306 expectations are placed on horses by humans regardless of their intended use (Table 1). To

307 meet these expectations horses will need to adapt or suppress their natural behavior to  
308 demonstrate the required performance related outcomes, in addition to learning behaviors that  
309 may be outside of their natural behavioral repertoires. For example, to facilitate ridden work  
310 regardless of equestrian disciplines, the horse must *learn* to suppress its natural behavior  
311 which would be to remove the human from its back. Horses used for competition-related  
312 performance are also subjected to specific management regimes which usually integrate some  
313 form of physical restriction. For example, competition horses are usually stabled for long  
314 periods with restricted turnout and forage intake compared to their free-ranging or semi-feral  
315 counterparts (Kiley-Worthington, 1990; Sarrafachi and Blokhuis, 2013; Williams, 2013).  
316 Many of these horses, e.g., race horses, are also maintained on an unnaturally high plane of  
317 nutrition. These horses may experience physical and/or social and/or psychological  
318 restriction (Kiley-Worthington, 1990, 2005). These restrictions may *also* be due to  
319 unavoidable constraints of those responsible for the horses such as restricted access to  
320 pasture, especially in poor weather.

321 In many circumstances horses may be managed and especially housed in a particular way that  
322 is traditional/expected for that type of horse, as defined by the individual's type and/or  
323 function/purpose. Table 1 describes the physical and mental expectations associated with a  
324 range of equitation disciplines and how performance based on discipline expectations may be  
325 measured. For example, dressage horses traditionally experience limited turn out for fear of  
326 injury. Ideally horses would be housed and managed in a way that ensured that individuals  
327 can express the range of natural behaviors outlined within the Animal Welfare Act 2006. In  
328 reality, if a detailed assessment were to be conducted against a framework of basic criteria  
329 with the aim of ensuring the animal's basic needs will be met, the goal of the Welfare Act is  
330 unlikely to be achieved. It is likely that the modern competition horse will experience  
331 stressors within their *normal* environment which could place them at risk of developing

332 stereotypies. The Horse Welfare Wageningen Project (2012) (and associated analysis guide)  
333 outline a comprehensive set of horse behaviors and physical signs that can be investigated  
334 and recorded in order to determine the impact of management systems on individual horses  
335 behavior. This multifactorial approach also includes data generated on the occurrence of  
336 abnormal behaviors.

337 In horses, the occurrence of abnormal behavior, i.e., behaviors that are traditionally referred  
338 to as stable vices (defined by the Oxford Dictionary *as bad or neurotic habits of stabled*  
339 *horses, typically arising as a result of boredom*, OED, 2016), but in more contemporary  
340 literature are referred to as stereotypies. Stereotypical behavior is defined broadly *as the*  
341 *persistent repetition of an act, especially for no obvious purpose and which can be exhibited*  
342 *at a number of levels* (in its early development in response to identifiable cause/s, mid-  
343 development where it has become a reliable response in the presence of its cause/s, or late  
344 development where the STB becomes emancipated from the cause, i.e., it occurs in the  
345 absence of its cause (see also Mills and Nankervis, 1999).

346 Any horse that is sold should be deemed fit and any unsoundness declared either by the  
347 vendor or by an independent veterinary professional (usually employed by the potential  
348 purchaser). An unsoundness is defined as a performance limiting factor - for example  
349 lameness or respiratory dysfunction - which adversely affects an individual horse's ability to  
350 function effectively in the role assigned to it (e.g., as a leisure horse or racehorse). The  
351 exhibition of an aberrant behavior may be considered an example of unsoundness. Declaring  
352 any unsoundness inevitably results in a reduction in the value of a horse at least to a certain  
353 extent (e.g., Krisová et al. 2015). STBs in horses are anecdotally linked to poor performance  
354 (McBride and Hemmings, 2009; Fraser and Broom, 1990; Ralston, 1982; Wickens and  
355 Heleski, 2010), impaired ability to learn (Hemmings et al. 2007) and an increased risk of  
356 injury (McBride and Hemmings, 2009) or a predisposition to certain forms of injury due to



357 physical consequences of repetitive physical movements associated with the STB (e.g.,  
358 weaving).

359 As with production animals, the expression of STBs has been reported to reduce the  
360 economic value of sports horses (Krisová et al. 2015; McBride and Hemmings, 2009). This  
361 loss is due to perceived performance limiting factors associated with STBs. Many horse  
362 owners believe STBs are contagious, and so do not wish to have a stereotypic horse on the  
363 yard (Sarafichi and Blokhuis, 2013; McBride and Long, 2001). Interestingly, owners who  
364 have had direct experience of horses that exhibit STB maintain that STBs do not negatively  
365 affect performance, and that performance based measures and values are equitable to those of  
366 non STB horses (Nagy et al., 2010). To date there are no published data available to  
367 substantiate these anecdotal propositions.

368

### 369 *Equine personality*

370 Within equestrianism, horses which exhibit STBs are not viewed positively. Despite poor  
371 understanding of the etiology of equine STB (Normando et al., 2011), many riders and  
372 owners believe stereotypies can be copied and do not want a stereotypic horse on their yard  
373 (McBride and Long, 2001). Stereotypic horses generally possess a reduced economic value  
374 than non-stereotypic horses (McBride and Hemmings, 2009) which is highlighted in sales  
375 adverts where “*no vices*” (i.e., no STBs) is included as it is viewed as a desirable  
376 characteristic. STBs have been associated with reactivity in individual horses (Bachmann et  
377 al., 2003), breed of horse (Albright et al., 2009), breeding / genetic predisposition (Albright et  
378 al., 2009) and suboptimal management (Cooper and Albentosa, 2005; Cooper and Mason,  
379 1998). Suboptimal management includes stabling with no or limited turnout (the opportunity  
380 to move freely and usually graze typically in a grass paddock) (Visser et al., 2008) and

381 suboptimal management conditions (Cooper and Albentosa, 2005). Nagy et al. (2010)  
382 reported that professional riders believe stereotypic horses can demonstrate learning  
383 characteristics which they consider advantageous to competitive performance (Roberts et al.,  
384 2015). Professional riders are focused on competition success (Wolframm et al., 2015) and  
385 should also be skilled in riding and handling more challenging horses which stereotypic  
386 individuals could represent. One could argue that the competitive potential of an individual  
387 horse could outweigh negative aspects leisure and amateur riders associate with STBs.

388 Elite human athletes, including riders, have been shown to possess different personality traits,  
389 including increased extroversion, compared to people who participate in sport for fun (Allen  
390 et al., 2011; Wolframm et al., 2015; Woodman et al., 2010). Extroversion is characterized by  
391 an increased tendency for excitability in humans (Wolframm et al., 2015). If the hypothesis is  
392 that STB horses possess more reactive personalities, they may also be considered as having  
393 extroverted personalities and possessing a suitable temperament for competition (Ichiji et al.,  
394 2013). Competitive riders may value extrovert characteristics that they recognize from self-  
395 reflection and feel have a positive effect on performance, when selecting their equine partner,  
396 so the presence of STB is not a key consideration.

397 Practitioners within the Equine Industry also suggest that STBs are performance limiting. For  
398 example, locomotor STBs in horses have been associated with an increased risk of  
399 orthopaedic injury, soft tissue strain and poor performance (McBride and Hemmings, 2009).  
400 Oral STBs are linked to a higher incidence of gastric ulcers (Nicol et al., 2002), colic (Archer  
401 et al., 2004) and dental pathologies (Marsden, 2002; Wickens et al., 2013). There is limited  
402 evidence that STBs contribute to the aetiology of these conditions.

403 Differences in the frequency of STB expression have been reported across equestrian  
404 disciplines (Hausberger et al., 2009) and associated with more intensive management

405 systems (for example, dressage and eventing, integrating restricted turnout and low forage  
406 diets) compared to management systems involving more turnout and higher forage diets  
407 (slow release energy) (such as endurance horses) (McGreevy et al., 1995). Normando et al.  
408 (2002) make the point that English horse management systems (referring explicitly to  
409 restrictive stabling practices) and riding precludes increased expression of STB, and apparent  
410 lack of progress is confirmed by their reiteration of the same point almost a decade later  
411 (Normando et al., 2011). Stress has been associated with riding practices, and is thought to be  
412 key factor within the aetiology of equine STB (Mills et al., 2002; Normando et al., 2011). In  
413 reality, it is likely that multiple environmental stressors trigger the occurrence and display of  
414 STB in horses, so all factors which could cause negative/harmful stress, including not  
415 allowing horses to demonstrate their STBs, should be considered when managing horses for  
416 optimal performance.

417

418 STB in horses has been linked to differences in learning behavior which could affect  
419 performance and management, and consequently influence how owners value their horse.  
420 Hemmings et al. (2007) and Parker et al. (2008) have proposed that stereotypic horses exhibit  
421 altered brain chemistry compared to non-stereotypic individuals, presenting with basal  
422 ganglion dysfunction and alterations in dopamine physiology which influence their ability to  
423 learn (Parker et al., 2009; Roberts et al., 2015). Chronic stress, particularly when young (in  
424 horses this could represent weaning, handling or when they are being backed for riding) can  
425 activate dopamine transmission, increase sensitivity to dopamine and lead to a higher  
426 percentage of D1 and D2 receptors in the basal ganglion fundamentally altering its  
427 functionality (Parker et al., 2008; 2009). These changes appear exacerbated in stereotypic  
428 animals (Hemmings et al., 2007). Since dopamine is a key neurotransmitter that is involved

429 in learning and reward-motivated behavior, changes in dopamine pathways could influence  
430 equine behavior and performance (McBride and Parker, 2015).

431 Comparisons of stereotypic and non-stereotypic horses' ability to learn new tasks have  
432 demonstrated that stereotypic horses demonstrate a poor extinction capacity and accelerated  
433 and more reinforced (stronger) learning than their non-stereotypic counterparts (Ninomyia,  
434 2007). Stereotypic horses then require more to unlearn what was taught (either intentionally  
435 or indeed accidentally) (Hemmings et al., 2007; Parker et al., 2008; Roberts et al., 2015).  
436 Roberts et al. (2015) demonstrated that although both oral- and locomotor- stereotyping  
437 horses exhibit increased dopamine sensitivity, differences exist between their learning  
438 performances. Horses that performed oral STB learned tasks more quickly and took longer to  
439 achieve extinction than horses which performed locomotor STB. This work supports  
440 professional riders' views that stereotypical horses, in particular those that crib-bite (refer to  
441 Roberts et al, 2017 in this issue), possess a heightened learning ability or as some perceived  
442 increased intelligence (Roberts et al., 2015; Williams, 2013).

443 In humans, individuals with heightened dopamine activity have been shown to learn faster  
444 when learning acquisition is combined with praise (Frank et al., 2004), supporting the  
445 professional riders' perspective. In practice, these qualities should counteract the negative  
446 economic impact of STBs in horses, however it may not be this simple. The shift displayed  
447 by stereotypic horses to stimulus-response learning, which is firmly embedded when first  
448 learned, could make these horses a challenging prospect to manage and ride for the average  
449 horse owner / rider. It may not be that stereotypies themselves are performance limiting but  
450 the qualities STB horses possess. These horses are motivated to learn quickly and retain what  
451 they learn, whether the responses are wanted. It stands to reason that stereotypic horses with  
452 inexperienced trainers/riders may learn inappropriately, react and respond to incorrect cues if  
453 they are rewarded for undesirable behaviors due to poor / limited handling and riding skills.

454 The trainer/rider may not realise they have facilitated these traits, resulting in the horse being  
455 labelled as *difficult* or *stubborn*. It is this characterization which could contribute to the  
456 negative perception of STB amongst general equestrians, and equally, as undesirable  
457 characteristics, perpetuate the reduced economic value of affected horses. A professional  
458 rider/trainer with heightened experience and skill levels may correctly apply learning theory  
459 and utilize the stereotypic horses' stimulus-response learning in a positive manner, to  
460 promote positive performance traits. Further research evaluating the longitudinal effect of  
461 STB on performance measures including success within disciplines and economic value as  
462 well as assessing career longevity is required to substantiate these effects.

463

#### 464 *Conclusion*

465 Despite extensive research into factors which contribute to the aetiology of STB and/or  
466 influence the expression of STB, few studies have explicitly evaluated if relationships exist  
467 between stereotypical behavior and performance variables in livestock or equine athletes.  
468 However, emergent themes within livestock and equine research suggest that individuals that  
469 exhibit STBs also demonstrate impaired performance attributes which supports the proposal  
470 that STB is a negative characteristic. Similarly within equestrianism, stereotypic horses  
471 appear to react and learn in a different way to non-stereotypic horses. Professional riders and  
472 trainers could utilise these traits combined with their advanced skills to enhance the  
473 performance potential and value of stereotypic horses. Stereotypic horses trained by amateur  
474 riders, who currently represent 96% of the horse owning population, may suffer from an  
475 approach that could reinforce the negative associations that exist.

476 Performance is a complex phenomenon with any species and multiple endogenous and  
477 exogenous factors will contribute to success at any one time. Research is required that

478 explicitly explores how different STBs influence performance variables, and how these  
479 interact with management systems and environmental stressors for both livestock and horses.  
480 Individual horses, as companion animals, are not as protected by rigorous legislation in the  
481 same way as individual animals classified as livestock. Horse keepers, regardless of the  
482 equestrian discipline with which they associate, will argue that they are keeping and  
483 managing their horses in an appropriate manner, albeit it often subject to financial, resource-  
484 and weather- related constraints. Yet the fact remains that a proportion of horses will suffer  
485 from inadequate living conditions or husbandry, and for some, their expression of STB is  
486 taken as the norm, and as a given. Given the existence of physiological evidence for  
487 enhanced motivation and learning ability, it may be argued that - at least for some equine  
488 individuals - expression of STB is not necessarily a performance limiting factor. The  
489 situation is different in livestock individuals simply due to the fact that many cows, sheep and  
490 pigs for example do not benefit from such a long term (around 20 years for some individuals)  
491 and frequent (often twice daily with direct physical contact) relationship as horses do with an  
492 individual human.

493

#### 494 **Conflict of interest statement**

495 None of the authors has any financial or personal relationships that could inappropriately  
496 influence or bias the content of the paper.

497

#### 498 **Funding**

499 No external parties funded this paper.

500

501 **Authorship**

502 The idea for the paper was conceived by Jane Williams and refined in conjunction with  
503 Hayley Randle. This study is a review and does not involve any experiments or data analysis.  
504 The paper was written by Jane Williams and Hayley Randle.

505

506 **References**

507 Adenkola, A. Y., Ayo, J. O. 2010. Physiological and behavioral responses of livestock to  
508 road transportation stress: A review. *African J. Biotech.* 9 (31), 4845-4856.

509 Adzitey, F., Nurul, H. 2011 Pale soft educative (PSE) and dark firm dry (DFD) meats: causes  
510 and measures to reduce these incidences – a mini review. *Int. Food Res. J.* 18, 11-20.

511 Aguayo-Ulloa, L., Villarroel, M., Pascual-Alonso, M., Miranda de la Lama, G.C., Maria,  
512 G.A. 2014 Finishing feedlot lambs in enriched pens using feeder ramps and straw and its  
513 influence on behavior and physiological welfare indicators. *J. Vet. Behav.: Clin. Appl. Res.*  
514 9, 347-356.

515 Albright, J.D., Mohammed, H.O., Heleski, C.R., Wickens, C.L., Houpt, K.A., 2009. Crib  
516 biting in US horses: breed predispositions and owner perceptions of aetiology. *Equine Vet. J.*  
517 41, 455-458.

518 Albright, J. D., Witte, T.H., Rohrback, B.W., Reed, A., Houpt, K.A. 2015. Efficacy and  
519 effects of various anti-crib devices on behavior and physiology of crib-biting horses. *Equine*  
520 *Vet. J.* DOI: 10.1111/evj.12534

521 Allen, M.S., Greenlees, I., Jones, M.V., 2011. An investigation of the five-factor model of  
522 personality and coping behaviour in sport. *J. Sports Sci.* 29(8), 841-850.

523 Gov.UK Animal Welfare Act 2006 Available at:  
524 <http://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2006/45/contents> Accessed: 01.04.16

525 Archer, D.C., Freeman, D.E., Doyle, A.J., Proudman, C.J., Edwards, B. 2004 Association  
526 between cribbing and entrapment of the small intestine in the epiploic foramen in horses: 68  
527 cases (1991-2002). *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc.* 224(4), 562-564.

528 Averós, X., Brossard, L., Dourmad, J.Y., de Greef, K.H., Edge, H.L., Edwards, S.A.,  
529 Meunier-Salaün, M.C., 2010. Quantitative assessment of the effects of space allowance,  
530 group size and floor characteristics on the lying behavior of growing-finishing pigs. *Animal*  
531 4, 777–783.

532 Averos, X., Lorea, A., de Heredia, I.B., Ruiz, R., Marchewka, J., Arranz, J., Estevez, I. 2014  
533 The behavior of gestating dairy ewes under different space allowances. *Appl. Anim. Behav.*  
534 *Sci.* 150, 17-26.

535 Bachmann, I., Audigé, L., Stauffacher, M. 2003. Risk factors associated with behavioral  
536 disorders of crib-biting, weaving and box-walking in Swiss horses. *Equine Vet. J.* 35 (2),  
537 158–163.

538 Bench, C.J., Rioja-Lang, F.C., Hayne, S.M., Gonyou, H.W. 2013 Group gestation housing  
539 with individual feeding: How feeding regime, resource allocation and genetic factors affect  
540 sow welfare. *Livest. Sci.* 152, 208-217.

541 BETA: British Equine Trade Association 2015. National Equestrian Survey 2015. Available  
542 from: <https://www/beta-uk.org>. Accessed: 01.04.16

543 Bramble Report: Britain, G. and Brambell, F.W.R., 1965. Report of the Technical Committee  
544 to Enquire Into the Welfare of Animals Kept Under Intensive Livestock Husbandry Systems,  
545 Etc.[Chairman, Professor FW Rogers Brambell.]



546 Broom, D.M., Johnson, K.G., 1993. Stress and Animal Welfare. Chapman and Hall, London.

547 Cambridge online dictionary and thesaurus. 2010. <http://dictionary.cambridge.org/> Accessed:  
548 01.04.16

549 Cao, X., Irwin, D.M., Liu, Y.H., Cheng, L.G., Wang, L., Wang, G.D. and Zhang, Y.P., 2014.  
550 Balancing Selection on CDH2 May Be Related to the Behavioral Features of the Belgian  
551 Malinois. PloS one, 9(10), p.e110075.

552 Caspermeyer, J. 2014. Largest Genetic Survey to Date Shows Major Success for Giant Panda  
553 Breeding Programs. Molec. Biol. Evol. 31(10), 2828.

554 Cobb, M., Branson, N., McGreevy, P., Lil, A., Bennett, P. 2015 The advent of canine  
555 performance science: Offering a sustainable future for working dogs. Behav. Proc. 110, 96–  
556 104

557 Cooper, J., Jackson, R., 1996. A comparison of the feeding behavior of sheep in straw yards  
558 and on slats. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 49, 99.

559 Cooper, J.J., Mason, G.J. 1998 The identification of abnormal behavior and behavioral  
560 problems in stabled horses and their relationship to horse welfare: a comparative review.  
561 Equine Vet. J. Suppl. 27, 5-9.

562 Cooper, J.J., Albentosa, M.J. 2005. Behavioral adaptation in the domestic horse: potential  
563 role of apparently abnormal responses including stereotypic behavior. Livest. Prod. Sci. 92,  
564 177–182.

565 Cussen, V. A., Mench. J.A. 2015. The relationship between personality dimensions and  
566 resiliency to environmental stress in orange-winged Amazon parrots (*Amazona amazonica*),  
567 as indicated by the development of abnormal behaviors. PLoS ONE 10(6): e0126170. doi:  
568 10.1371/journal.pone.0126170

569 Drum, T., Curik, I., Baumung, R., Aberle, K., Distl, O., Sölkner, J. 2007. Individual-based  
570 assessment of population structure and admixture in Austrian, Croatian and German draught  
571 horses. *Heredity* 98, 114–122.

572 da Fonseca, A. A., Tomé, V. L., Alonso, M. P., Zanine, A. de M., Negrão, F. de M., Feijó, L.  
573 C. 2014. Effect of transport on meat quality and yield. *PUBVET* 8(5) pp. Art.1682.

574 Dwyer, C.M., Bornett, H.L.L., 2004. Chronic stress in sheep: Assessment tools and their use  
575 in different management conditions. *Anim. Welf.* 13, 293–304.

576 Ewing, S.A., Lay Jr., D.C., von Borell, E., 1999. *Farm Animal Well-Being. Stress*  
577 *Physiology, Animal Behavior, and Environmental Design.* Prentice-Hall, Upper Saddle  
578 River, NJ, USA, pp. 1–357.

579 Frank, M.J., Seeberger, L.C., O'Reilly, R.C. 2004. By carrot or by stick: cognitive  
580 reinforcement learning in Parkinsonism. *Science* 306 (5703), 1940–1943.

581 Fraser, A.F., Broom, D.M. 1990 In *Farm Animal Behavior and Welfare*, Balliere Tindall,  
582 London.

583 Fraser, D., Duncan, I.J.H., Edwards, S.A., Grandin, T., Gregory, N.G., Guyonnet, V.,  
584 Hemsworth, P.H., Huertas, S.M., Huzzey, J.M., Mellor, D.J., Mench, J.A., Spinka, M., Whay,  
585 H.R. 2013. General principles for the welfare of animals in production systems: the  
586 underlying science and its application. *Vet. J.* 198, 19e27.

587 Freymond, S.B., Bardou, D., Breifer, E.F., Bruckmaier, R., Fouche, N., Fleury, J., Maigrot,  
588 A.L., Ramseyer, A., Zuberbuhler, K., Bachmann, I. 2015. The physiological consequences of  
589 crib-biting in horses in response to an ACH challenge test. *Phyio. Beh.* 151, 121-128.

590 Gottlieb, D. H., Capitanio, J. P., McCowan, B. 2013. Risk factors for stereotypic behavior  
591 and self-biting in rhesus macaques (*Macaca mulatta*): animal's history, current environment,  
592 and personality. *Am. J. Primatology*. 75(10), 995-1008.

593 Grandin, T. 2015. An introduction to implementing and effective animal welfare program.  
594 In *Improving animal welfare: A practical approach*. 2nd Edition. T. Grandin (Editor). CAB  
595 International: Wallingford.

596 Grier, J.W., 1984. *Biology of Animal Behavior*. Times Mirror/Mosby College Publishing, St  
597 Louis, MO.

598 Gougoulis, D.A., Kyriazakis, I., Fthenakis, G.C., 2010. Diagnostic significance of behavior  
599 changes of sheep: A selected review. *Small Ruminant Res.* 92, 52–56.

600 Hausberger, M., Gautier, E., Biquand, V., Lunel, C., Jago, P., 2009. Could work be a source  
601 of behavioral disorders? A study in horses. *PLoS ONE* 4, 7625.

602 Hemmings, A., McBride, S.D., Hale, C.E. 2007. Perseverative responding and the aetiology  
603 of equine oral stereotypy. *App, Anim. Behav. Sci.* 104, 143–150.

604 Hemmings, A., Hal , C.,2013.From gut to brain. Conference proceedings. In: *Proceedings of*  
605 *Le saffre Czech Republic*.

606 Hemmings, A., McBride, S.D. and Hale, C.E., 2007. Perseverative responding and the  
607 aetiology of equine oral stereotypy. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 104, 143–150.*Horse Welfare*  
608 *Wageningen Project (2014*

609 Ijichi, C.L., Collins, L.M., Elwood, R.W. 2013 Evidence for the role of personality in  
610 stereotypy predisposition, *Anim. Behav.* 85 (6), 1145-1151.

611 Kiley-Worthington, M., 1990. The behavior of horses in relation to management and  
612 training—towards ethologically sound environments. *Journal of Equine Veterinary Science*,  
613 10(1), pp.62-75.

614 Kiley-Worthington, M. 1994. *Equine Welfare*. J.A.Allen & Co Ltd, Newton Abbot

615 Kiley-Worthington, M. 2005. *Horsewatch: What it is to be equine. The horse report*.  
616 J.A.Allen & Co Ltd, Newton Abbot

617 Kiley-Worthington, M., Randle, H. D. 2005 Assessing captive animals' welfare and quality  
618 of life. *Int. Zoo News*. 52: 324-333.

619 King, T., Marston, L.C., Bennett, P. C. 2012 Breeding dogs for beauty and behavior: why  
620 scientists need to do more to develop valid and reliable behavior assessments for dogs kept as  
621 companions. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 137, 1-12.

622 Krisová, S., Žert, Z., Žuffová, K. 2015. Assessment of modified Forssell's myectomy  
623 success rate in the treatment of crib biting in horses. *Acta Vet. Brno*. 2015, 84, 63-69.

624 Lanza, M., Landi, C., Scerra, M.m Galofaro, V., Pennisi, P. 2009. Meat quality and  
625 intramuscular fatty acid composition of Sanfratellano and Halfinger foals. *Meat Sci.* 82, 142-  
626 147.

627 Latham, N.R., Mason, G.J. 2008 Maternal deprivation and the development of stereotypic  
628 behavior. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 1(10), 84-108.

629 Lay Jr., D.C., Fulton, R.M., Hester, P.Y., Karcher, D.M., Kjaer, J.B., Mench, J.A., Mullens,  
630 B.A., Newberry, R.C., Nicol, C.J., O'Sullivan, N.P., Porter, R.E., 2011. Hen welfare in  
631 different housing systems. *Poultry Sci.* 90, 278–294.

632 Levine, S., 1985. A definition of stress?. In *Animal stress* (pp. 51-69). Springer New York.

633 Llonch, P. King, E.M., Clarke, K.A., Downes., J.M., Green, L.E. 2006 A systematic review  
634 of animal based indicators of sheep welfare on farm, at market and during transport and  
635 qualitative appraisal of their validity and feasibility for use in UK abattoirs. *Vet. J.* 206, 289-  
636 297.

637 Marsden, D. 2002 A new perspective on stereotypic behavior problems in horses. *In Practice.*  
638 24(1), 558-569.

639 Mason, G., Rushen, J. 2008. *Stereotypic Animal Behavior: Fundamentals and Applications*  
640 *to Welfare.* CAB International, Wallingford.

641 McBride, S., Hemmings, A., 2009. A neurologic perspective of equine stereotypy. *J. Equine*  
642 *Vet. Sci.* 29 (1), 10–16.

643 McBride, S.D., Long, L., 2001. Management of horses showing stereotypic  
644 behavior, owner perception and the implications for welfare. *Vet. Rec.* 148, 799–802.

645 McBride, S.D., Mills, D.S. 2012 Psychological factors affecting equine performance. *BMC*  
646 *Vet. Res.* 8, 180.

647 McBride, S.D., Parker, M. O. 2015 The disrupted basal ganglia and behavioral control: An  
648 integrative cross-domain perspective of spontaneous stereotypy. *Behav. Brain Res.* 276, 45-  
649 58.

650 McGarry, T. 2009. Applied and theoretical perspectives of performance analysis in sport:  
651 scientific issues and challenges. *Int. J. Perf. Analysis of Sport* 9, 128-140.

652 McGreevy, P., Cripps, P.J., French, N.P., Green, L.E., Nicol, C. J. 1995 Management factors  
653 associated with stereotypic and redirected behavior in the Thoroughbred horse. *Eq. Vet. J.*  
654 27(2), 86-91.

655 Mills, D.S., Alston, R.D., Rogers, V., Longford, T. 2002 Factors associated with the  
656 prevalence of stereotypic behavior amongst Thoroughbred horses passing through auctioneer  
657 sales. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 78, 115-124.

658 Mills, D.S., Nankervis, K.J., 1999. *Equine Behavior: Principles and Practice*. Blackwell  
659 Science, Oxford.

660 Miranda-de la Lama, G.C., Mattiello, S., 2010. The importance of social behaviour for goat  
661 welfare in livestock farming. *Small Ruminant Research*, 90(1), 1-10.

662 Moberg, G.P., 2000. Biological response to stress: implications for animal welfare. In:  
663 Moberg, G.P., Mench, J.A. (Eds.), *The Biology of Animal Stress*. CABI Publishing,  
664 Wallingford, UK, pp. 1–21.

665 Nagy, K., Bodo, G., Bardos, G., Banzsky, N., Kabai, P. 2010 Differences in temperament  
666 traits between crib-biting and control horses. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 1222, 41-47.

667 Nicol, C.J., Davidson, H.P.D., Harris, P.A., Waters, A.J., Wilson, A.D., 2002.  
668 Study of crib-biting and gastric inflammation and ulceration in young horses. *Vet. Rec.* 151,  
669 658–662.

670 Ninomiya, S., 2007. Social learning and stereotypy in horses. *Behav. Process.* 76, 22–23.

671 Normando, S., Canali, E., Ferrante, V., Verga, M., 2002. Behavioral problems in Italian  
672 Saddle Horses. *J. Equine Vet. Sci.* 117–120.

673 Normando, S., Meers, L., Samuels, W. E., Faustini, M., Odberg, F.O. 2011 Variables  
674 affecting the prevalence of behavioral problems in horses: Can riding style and other  
675 management factors be significant? *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 133, 186-198.

676 Novak, J., Bailoo, J. D., Melotti, L., Rommen, J., Würbel, H. 2015. An exploration based  
677 cognitive bias test for mice: effects of handling method and stereotypic behavior. PLoS ONE  
678 10(7): e0130718. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0130718

679 Overall, K.L., Dunham, A.E., 2002. Clinical features and outcome in dogs and cats with  
680 obsessive-compulsive disorder: 126 cases (1989–2000). J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc. 221(10),  
681 1445-1452.

682 Oxford English Dictionary (OED) 2016, available at: <http://www.oed.com/> Accessed on  
683 01.06.2016

684 Padalino B, Aubé L, Fatnassi M, Monaco D, Khorchani T, Hammadi M., Lacalandra G M.  
685 2014. Could Dromedary camels develop stereotypy? The first description of stereotypical  
686 behavior in housed male Dromedary camels and how it is affected by different management  
687 systems. PLoS ONE 9(2): e89093. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0089093

688 Parker, M., McBride, S.D., Redhead, E.S., Goodwin, D. 2009 Differential place and response  
689 learning in horses displaying an oral stereotypy. Behav. Brain Res. 200, 100-105.

690 Parker, M., Redhead, E.S., Goodwin, D., McBride, S.D., 2008. Impaired instrumental choice  
691 in crib-biting horses (*Equus caballus*). Behav. Brain. Res. 191, 137–140.

692 Pomerantz, O., Paukner, A., Terkel, J. 2012 Some stereotypic behaviors in rhesus macaques  
693 (*Macca mulatta*) are correlated with both perseveration and the ability to cope with acute  
694 stressors. Behav. Brain Res. 230, 274-280.

695 Protopopova, A., Hall, N. J., Wynne, C.D.L. 2014. Association between increased  
696 behavioral persistence and stereotypy. Behav. Processes. 106:77-81

697 Ralston SL. Common behavioral problems of horses. Comp Cont Educ Pract Vet  
698 1982;4:S152–S159.

699 Randle, H. D. 1995. Personality and Adoption in Beef Cattle. PhD Thesis. Exeter  
700 University.

701 Randle, H. 2015. Personality and Performance: the influence of behavior. In Training for  
702 equestrian performance. J.M. Williams and D.S. Evans. (Editors). Wageningen Academic  
703 Publishers, Wageningen. Pp 301-323

704 Randle, H.D., Kiley-Worthington, M. 2004 Implications of semi-intensive management on  
705 the breeding of black rhino (*Diceros bicornis*). Internat. Zoo News 51: 266-280.Redbo, I.,  
706 Redbo-Torstensson, P., ödberg, F.O., Hedendahl, A. and Holm, J., 1998. Factors affecting  
707 behavioral disturbances in race-horses. Anim. Sci. 66, 475–481.

708 Redbo, I., Nordblad, A. 1997 Stereotypies in heifers are affected by feeding regime. Appl.  
709 Anim. Behav. Sci., 53, 193-2002.

710 Redbo, I., Jacobsen, K.G., van Doom, C., Pettersson, G. 1992 A note on relations between  
711 oral stereotypies in dairy cows and milk production, health and age. Anim. Prod. 54(1), 166-  
712 168.

713 Roberts, K. Hemmings, A., Moore-Colyer, M., Hale, C. 2015 Cognitive differences in horses  
714 performing locomotor versus oral stereotypic behavior. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 168, 37-44.

715 Roberts, K., Hemmings, A.J., McBridger, S., Matthew, O. 2017. Causal factors of oral versus  
716 locomotor stereotypy in the horse. Journal of Veterinary Behaviour (this issue)

717 Romero, L.M., Platts, S. H., Schoech, S.J., Wada, H., Crespi, E., Martin, L.B., Buck, C.L.  
718 2015. Understanding stress in the healthy animal – potential paths for progress. Stress: Int. J.  
719 Biol. Stress. 18(5):491-497. DOI: 10.3109/10253890.2015.1073255

720 Rooney, N.J., Bradshaw, J.W.S., Almey, H., 2004. Attributes of specialist search dogs—a  
721 questionnaire survey of UK dog handlers and trainers. J. Forensic Sci. 49 (2), 296–302.



722 Rooney, N., Gaines, S., Hilby, E. 2009 A practitioner's guide to working dog welfare. J. Vet.  
723 Behav.: Clin. Appl. Res. 4, 127-134.

724 Qi, C., Zou, H., Zhang, R., Zhao, G., Jin, M., Yu. L. 2008 Age-related differential sensitivity  
725 to MK-801-induced locomotor stereotypy in C57BL/6 mice. Eur. J. Pharmacol. 580, 161-  
726 168.

727 Sarrafchi, A., Blokhuis, H.J. 2013 Equine stereotypic behaviors: Causation, occurrence and  
728 prevention. J. Vet. Behav.; Clin. Appl. Res. 8, 386-394.

729 Shepherdson, D., Lewis, K.D., Carlstead, K., Bauman, J., Perrin, N. 2013 Individual and  
730 environmental factors associated with stereotypic behavior and fecal glucocorticoid  
731 metabolite levels in zoo housed polar bears. Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci. 147 (3-4), 268-277.

732 Smith, A.V., Proops, L, Grounds, k., Wathan, J., McComb. K. 2016. Functionally relevant  
733 responses to human facial expressions of emotion in the domestic horse (*Equus caballus*).  
734 The Royal Society. Biology Letters. DOI: 10.1098/rsbl.2015.0907.

735 Swiss Animal Protection SAP Organisation 2016. Protecting animals from cruelty and  
736 neglect. Available from: [www.animal-protection.net/](http://www.animal-protection.net/) Accessed: 01.04.16.

737 Sutherland, M.A., Rigers, A.R., Verkerk, A. 2012 The effect of temperament and  
738 responsiveness towards humans on the behavior, physiology and milk production of multi-  
739 parous dairy cows in a familiar and novel milking environment. Physiol. & Behav. 107, 329-  
740 337.

741 Texiera, D.L., Mirnada de la Lama, G.C., Villarroel, M., Escos, J., Maria, G.A. 2014 Lack of  
742 straw during finishing affects individual and social lamb behavior. J. Vet. Behav.: Clin. Appl.  
743 Res. 9, 177-183.

744 The Wageningen Horse Welfare Project. 2012. Wageningen University, Wageningen.  
745 Available from: <http://edepot.wur.nl/238620>. Accessed: 01.04.16.

746 Tuttyens, F. A. M. 2005 The importance of straw for pig and cattle welfare: A review. *Appl.*  
747 *Anim. Behav. Sci.* 92, 261-282.

748 Varadharajan, V., Krishnamoorthy, T., Nagarajan, B. 2015. Prevalence of stereotypies and  
749 its possible causes among captive Asian elephants (*Elephas maximus*) in Tamil Nadu, India.  
750 *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.* 174: 137–146.

751 Vincent, I.C., Leahy, R.A., 1997. Real-time non-invasive measurement of heart rate in  
752 working dogs: A technique with potential welfare applications in the objective assessment of  
753 welfare problems. *Vet. J.* 153, 179-184.

754 Visser, E.K., Ellis, A.D., Van Reenen, C.G., 2008. The effect of two different housing  
755 conditions on the welfare of young horses stabled for the first time. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.*  
756 114, 521–533.

757 Von Borell, E., Hurnik, J.F., 1990. Stereotypic behavior and productivity of sows. *Canadian*  
758 *Journal of Animal Science*, 70(3), 953-956.

759 Von Borell, E., Sørensen, J.T., 2004. Organic livestock production in Europe: aims, rules and  
760 trends with special emphasis on animal health and welfare. *Livestock Production Science*,  
761 90(1), 3-9.

762 Von Borell, E., Baumgartner, J., Giersing, M., Jäggin, N., Prunier, A., Tuyttens, F.A.M.,  
763 Edwards, S.A., 2009. Animal welfare implications of surgical castration and its alternatives  
764 in pigs. *Animal*, 3(11), 1488-1496.

765 Von Borell, E., Dobson, H., Prunier, A. 2007 Stress, behavior and reproductive performance  
766 in female cattle and pigs. *Hormones and Behav.* 52, 130-138.

767 Waran, N., Randle, H. 2017. What we can measure, we can manage: The importance of  
768 developing robust welfare indicators for use in Equitation. *Appl. Anim. Behav. Sci.*  
769 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.applanim.2017.02.016>

770 Warriss, P. D., Brown, S. N. 1993. Relationships between the subjective assessments of pork  
771 quality and objective measures of colour. In Wood, J. D. and Lawrence, T. L. J. (Eds). *Safety*  
772 *and Quality of Food from Animals*. Occasional Publication of the British Society of Animal  
773 Production no. 17, p 98-101. UK: Edinburgh.

774 Wayne, R. K., von Holdt, B.M. 2012. Evolutionary genomics of dog domestication.  
775 *Mamm. Genome* 23(1), 3-18.

776 Wickens, C.L., Heleski, C. R. Crib-biting behavior in horses: a review. *Appl. Anim. Behav.*  
777 *Sci.* 128, 1-9.

778 Wickens, C.L., Houpt, K.A. 2015. Stereotypic and Behavioral Disorders. In *Equine*  
779 *Neurology*. M. Furr and S. Reed (Editors). John Wiley & Sons: Oxford.

780 Wickens, C.L., McCall, C.A. Bursian, S., Hanson, R., Heleski, C.R., Liesman, J.S.,  
781 McEhenney, W.H, Trotter, N.L. 2013. Assessment of gastric ulceration and gastrin response  
782 in horses with history of crib-biting. *J. Eq. Vet. Sci.* 33(9), 739-745

783 Williams, J. M. 2015. Performance analysis: the application of science to training. In  
784 *Training for equestrian performance*. J.M. Williams and D.S. Evans. (Editors). Wageningen  
785 Academic Publishers, Wageningen. Pp 21-23

786 Williams, J.M. 2013. Performance analysis in equestrian sport? *Comp. Exerc. Physiol.* 9(2),  
787 67-77.

788 Wolframm, I.A., Williams, J., Marlin, D. 2015 The role of personality in equestrian sports: an  
789 investigation. *Comp. Exerc. Physiol.* 11(3), 133-144.

790 Woodman, T., Akehurst, S., Hardy, L., Beattie, S. 2010. Self-confidence and performance: A  
791 little self-doubt helps. *Psychol. Sport Exerc.* 11, 467-470.

792

793

794

795 **Table captions**

796 Table 1 Discipline specific expectations of horses kept for human use.

797

<b>Discipline</b>	<b>Expectation (to cope)</b>	<b>Measurable outcomes for performance</b>
Leisure Horse	To remain calm, be traffic proof, to be adaptable, to cope with varying demands, often multidisciplinary. To potentially become accustomed to multiple handlers, riders and management regimes.	Does not exhibit flight response in potentially stressful situations. Leisure rider happiness. Reliability as a riding horse. Rider/owners enjoyment. Perform alone and in company.
Companion horse	Injury free. Calm. Cope with a less/non active life often in one single environment.	Lack of injury. Lack of stress behaviours.
Showing	To remain calm in the show ring. Behaves appropriately under varying conditions. To become accustomed to travel and the show environment, and different horses in close vicinity and with multiple riders	Trainability, placings and prize money.
Showjumping	Fitness. Ability to jump multiple types of obstacles. Ability to travel at speed, shorten and lengthen strides and remain manoeuvrable. Cope with different competitive environments, competition schedule (variable management regimes including restricted stabling and turnout). Varying trainers/riders/ training methods.	Trainability, placings and prize money.
Dressage	Ability to perform complex movements without damage. Ability to adapt to multiple equipment/tack. Travelling. Cope with training methods and gadgetry. Protective husbandry practices which may include restricted turnout. Varying trainers/riders / repetitive training methods.	Placings. Trainability: submission, quality of gait, collection and submission.

Driving	To remain calm, be traffic proof, to be adaptable to varying environments. Dexterity and speed. Ability to respond to rider over and above conspecifics that may be working alongside (pairs, fours).	Trainability, placings.
Hunting	Fitness. Ability to travel at speed, transport, working in changing groups of horses. Ability to cope with extended periods of standing. Ability to cope with a wide range of physical environments. Varying riders. Ability to cope with dogs and unexpected physical environmental features. Ability to jump. Ability to cope with rider falls. Being able to cope with extended (summer) holiday period.	Lack of injury, days off work.
Team Chasing	Fitness, ability to jump at speed, working with conspecifics, expectation to leave other horses.	Placings, speed.

798

Endurance	Fitness, stamina, speed, working alone, passing- and being passed by- other horses. Varying terrains. Travel. Unfamiliar stabling. Rider related equipment – e.g. flappy map cases!	Veterinary parameters- fitness and behaviour. Speed. Self-preservation.
Polo	Fitness, stamina, speed, tight turns and bursts of acceleration Controlled aggression towards other horses to facilitate bump and ride-off manoeuvres. Working in close proximity to others. Good temperament to stand calmly in polo lines between chukkas. Multiple riders Use of multiple items of equipment, with potentially conflicting actions. Varying levels of rider expertise and weight	Speed, avoidance of injuries. Chukkas scored. High or low goal status (linked to player ratings)
Polocrosse	Fitness, stamina, speed, tight turns and bursts of acceleration Controlled aggression towards other horses to facilitate bump and ride-off manoeuvres. Working in close proximity to others. Good temperament, cope with polocrosse sticks. Multiple riders. Use of multiple items of equipment, with potentially conflicting actions. Varying levels of rider expertise and weight	Speed, avoidance of injuries.
Horse ball	Fitness, stamina, speed, tight turns and bursts of acceleration Working in close proximity to others. Good temperament, cope with ball and manoeuvres.. Multiple riders. Use of multiple items of equipment, with potentially conflicting actions. Varying levels of rider expertise and weight.	Speed, points scored, avoidance of injuries
Vaulting	Change of environments, being lunged for extended periods, human doing crazing things, impact on back, competitive environment. Travel	Calmness and consistency in gaits. Obedience. Lack of reaction to environmental stressors.

Eventing	Fitness, stamina, speed, able to jump and perform complex movements, adaptability, transport, unfamiliar stabling and management regimes. Different trainers / riders. Different equipment and expectations. Temperament to perform effectively at three different disciplines	Placings, points scored. remain injury free?
Hunter trials / cross-country	Fitness, stamina, speed, ability to jump, adaptability, transport, unfamiliar stabling etc. Different trainers / riders. Equipment and expectations.	Placings, points scored.
Racing	Speed ±stamina, high plane of nutrition and restrictive management regimes, working in strings, jumping (NH), transport, varying riders, Starting gates, different competitive environments. Long transport periods, early start of competitive career including sales preparation (flat racing)	Placings, winnings, breeding value / status: black type (placing in premium races which enhances breeding value), remain injury free
Trec	Fitness, adaptability. Different sections. Multiple equipment esp. rider related.	Placings, winnings.
Horse agility	Obstacles, obedience in-hand, willing temperament.	Trainability, placings, winnings.
Rodeo	Audience noise. Equipment (bucking straps)	Time to dislodge rider and quality of bucking / leaping.



Bull fighting	Bovines, audience noise, equipment	Self-preservation, speed and manoeuvrability.
Reining	Ability to lope and gallop with fast acceleration, circle, spin, turn and stop at speed, good temperament	Placings, winnings.
BARREL RACING	Competition environments, transport etc. Audiences. Speed	Placings, winnings.
Jousting	Ability to run at another horse, coping with environment, frightening stimuli including the jousting lance being carried by own rider and the opposing rider. Quick speed. Short term run.	Ability to maintain speed and straight line.
Pony Club/Riding Club	Adaptability, changing groups of horses, different disciplines, transport, travel etc. Variable environment, speed, cope with aversive stimuli, noise. Varying trainers. Inexperienced / novice/ young / part-time / amateur riders.	Adaptable horse, trainability, ability to perform range of disciplines (may not excel in any but would be classified as a <i>good</i> allrounder).
Gymkhanas	Environment, speed, cope with aversive stimuli, noise, brats	Adaptable horse. Rosettes
Riding School/Trekking centre	Varying riders. Rider inexperience and weight. Confusing signals. Habitual routes. Varying conspecifics. Expected not to show fear related behaviours, or get stressed. Expectation - work hours. Stabling during day. Tack fit. Insensitive rider signals and loading during riding.	Safety. Rider falls (lack of). Absence of negative behaviours.

Education Centre / College	Lack of varied working environment. Managed fitness levels to prevent injury to less experienced riders. Multiple riders. Confusing signals. Being stabled a lot during day, or more (if have weather related turn out issues)	Safety statistics, soundness.
Service horses	Stabling, restricted access to grazing. Expectations dealing with aversive situations. Heavy equipment. Lack of individualised equipment choice/use/fit.	Calmness during work.

799

800 **Figure captions**

801 Figure 1: Different animal responses to stressors (von Borell et al., 2007).

