

Use and Repeatability of 3D Light Scanning to Measure Transverse Dorsal Profile Size and Symmetry in the Thoracic region in Horses

Tabor, Gillian; Marlin, David J; Williams, J. M.

Published in:
Comparative Exercise Physiology

Publication date:
2022

The re-use license for this item is:
CC BY-NC-ND

This document version is the:
Peer reviewed version

[Find this output at Hartpury Pure](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Tabor, G., Marlin, D. J., & Williams, J. M. (Accepted/In press). Use and Repeatability of 3D Light Scanning to Measure Transverse Dorsal Profile Size and Symmetry in the Thoracic region in Horses. *Comparative Exercise Physiology*.

1 **Use and Repeatability of 3D Light Scanning to Measure Transverse Dorsal Profile Size and**
2 **Symmetry in the Thoracic region in Horses**

3

4 G.F. Tabor¹, D.J. Marlin² and J. M. Williams¹

5

6 ¹Equine Performance Research Centre, Hartpury University, Gloucester, UK, GL19 3BE

7 ²AnimalWeb Ltd, Cambridge, UK ([dm@davidmarlin.co.uk](mailto:dm@ davidmarlin.co.uk))

8

9 *Corresponding author gillian.tabor@hartpury.ac.uk

10

11 Conflict of Interest: None

12 Funding: None

13

14 **ABSTRACT**

15

16 Equine epaxial muscle size, thoracolumbar profile and symmetry in horses is of clinical interest due to
17 relationships with pain and pathology. Flexible-curve rulers have previously been used to gather
18 reliable, objective measures of thoracic profile, however 3D light-scanning offers a potential non-
19 contact alternative method to estimate cross sectional area (CSA) of the region. 3D light-scans of the
20 thoracic epaxial region were taken from ten endurance horses (7 geldings, 3 mares; 8±2 years). Total
21 CSA of the combined epaxial musculature, using computer software, was calculated at scapula and T18
22 levels (depth: 15cm). Intra and inter-rater (n=3) reliability of CSA measurements was assessed using
23 Friedman's analyses and *post-hoc* Wilcoxon rank tests (three repeated measures). Intraclass correlation
24 estimates (ICC±95% confidence intervals (CI)) were calculated (mean-rating, absolute-agreement, 2-
25 way mixed-effects model). Paired t-tests assessed differences between right and left areas. No
26 significant differences existed for transverse plane-cuts (scapula, T18 P>0.05) between light-scans.
27 Right and left areas were significantly different at the withers (p=0.012) with the left side larger in 70%
28 of scans, but no significant differences were found between sides at T18. No differences existed for
29 different plane-cuts of the same horse (p=0.53; ICC: 0.76; CIs: 0.43-0.92). While reliability was reduced
30 between all raters (p=0.02; ICC: 0.70; CIs: 0.56-0.82), no significant differences occurred between two
31 different assessors experienced in using the software (p=0.88; ICC: 0.90; CIs: 0.82-0.95). Intra-rater
32 reliability for assessing thoracic profile and inter-rater reliability ICC values with experienced analysts
33 was interpreted as good/excellent. The results suggest 3D light-scanning is an objective, non-invasive
34 method to record size and symmetry of the epaxial region in horses and warrants validity testing against
35 current measurement methods such as the flexible-curve ruler.

36

37 **Keywords:** Equine, horse, objective, thoracic spine, outcome measurement, reliability

38

39

40 INTRODUCTION

41 Epaxial muscle size in horses is of interest to veterinary surgeons and physiotherapists due to their
42 relationship with pain and pathology. Muscle atrophy has been reported in the presence of overriding
43 spinous processes (Coomer *et al.*, 2012), sacroiliac dysfunction (Barstow and Dyson, 2015) and as a
44 result of poorly fitting saddles (Greve *et al.*, 2015). Assessment of size and symmetry of epaxial
45 muscles is often undertaken subjectively during a clinical examination (Tabor and Williams, 2018) to
46 assess for pathology related muscle atrophy and asymmetry. Methods to quantify muscle size in a
47 categorical manner (Walker *et al.*, 2016) and using tools such as ultrasound imaging (cross sectional
48 area – Stubbs *et al.*, 2010; Tabor, 2015; de Oliveira *et al.*, 2015; and thickness – Lindner *et al.*, 2010,
49 Abe *et al.*, 2012; de Oliveira *et al.*, 2015) have been shown to be reliable. However, ultrasound imaging
50 requires the use of expensive and time-consuming methods as well as clipping/shaving of the haircoat
51 overlying the muscles of interest, which can generate significant owner resistance especially in the
52 competition horse due to cosmetic reasons. External dimensions can be traced non-invasively using a
53 flexible curve ruler (FCR) and reliability testing indicates that the data are accurate and repeatable when
54 using a strict consistent technique. The FCR has been used to document thoracic muscle shape, finding
55 changes associated with exercise and saddle fit (Greve and Dyson, 2013; Mackechnie-Guire *et al.*,
56 2018). Objectively assessing muscle size and symmetry in other regions of the equine spine affected by
57 pathology (for example: lumbar spinous process impingement, articular facet joint disease, lumbosacral
58 region pain and ilial fracture) would be valuable, but to date use of the FCR has not been validated
59 beyond the thoracic region.

60
61 Three-dimensional (3D) light-scanning as a method of data collection is new in its application for horses
62 but has been suggested to be reliable for measuring equine trunk and limb volumes (Johnson and
63 Symons, 2020 & Valberg *et al.*, 2020) and could potentially be used to record the dorsal profile of
64 horses. Although unable to collect data on individual muscle dimensions, like the FCR, it may be useful
65 to objectively measure total epaxial muscle cross section area in an efficient and non-invasive manner.
66 The advantages in comparison to tools such as the FCR and ultrasound imaging are the ability to collect
67 and store data of the profile of an object and manipulate the image at a later stage, to analyse multiple
68 sites that the whole data contains. Additionally, a benefit is that there is no requirement for clipping
69 hair or for physical contact with a horse.

70
71 3D light-scanning is the process of collecting data from the surface of a physical object which
72 accurately describes its shape in 3D dimensions (Wells *et al.*, 2008); the digital scan data obtained can
73 then be used to construct, manipulate and analyse a 3D model. Many different techniques are
74 available for obtaining the 3D surface coordinates, including contact and non-contact methods. Most
75 3D scanners are based on non-contact methods and include laser time-of-flight cameras and laser
76 triangulation 3D cameras. Laser triangulation is commonly used with small objects placed on a

77 rotating platform around which the lasers which both emit and receive light are based, they cope
78 poorly with reflective surfaces and has a range of only several metres. Time-of-flight cameras are
79 suited to applications involving distances in the order of kilometres, but have slow capture rates which
80 is not an issue for static objects but can be problematic with a subject that has any movement.

81
82 Structured-light 3D scanners project a pattern of light onto the object to be scanned and the deformation
83 of the light on the subject is recorded by a camera. Systems that do not emit radiation in order to scan
84 objects are referred to as passive systems and these usually detect either visible light or infra-red.
85 Photometric 3D imaging systems are commonly based on a single camera but multiple images under
86 different lighting conditions or positions are obtained. Photogrammetry is a technique based on the
87 analysis of multiple images of an object taken at different angles and the data obtained are used to
88 generate a 3D point cloud in which each point has its own unique X, Y & Z coordinate. This in turn can
89 be used to construct a 3D mesh which can be analysed digitally.

90
91 The reliability of the FCR to record thoracic region profile size has been tested at three levels of this
92 spinal region (Greve and Dyson, 2013; Mackechnie-Guire *et al.*, 2018) however 3D light-scanning has
93 only been tested for whole body and limb volume in horses (Johnson and Symons, 2020 & Valberg *et*
94 *al.*, 2020). To further explore the potential use of 3D light scanning in horses, the aim of this study was
95 to investigate reliability of repeated measurements of the thoracic region morphology and symmetry
96 with 3D light-scanning.

97

98 **MATERIALS & METHODS**

99 A convenience sample of ten Arabian endurance horses in full-training and competing at FEI CEI***
100 level with the same trainer and at the same stables were recruited for the study; 3 mares and 7 geldings
101 with a mean age 8 ± 2 years. All horses were considered by the trainer and the attending veterinary
102 surgeon to be free of lameness or obvious musculoskeletal asymmetry and scanning was undertaken in
103 a familiar environment.

104

105 Scanning equipment

106

107 A variety of light-scanning systems were initially evaluated for this application including the Artec Eva
108 (Rue des Peupliers, L-2328, Luxembourg), Sense (3D Systems Inc, Herndon, VA, USA), Scandy Pro
109 (Andrew Higgins Blvd., New Orleans, LA 70119, USA) and the Occipital Structure Sensor Mark I
110 Occipital Inc, Boulder, Colorado, 80302, USA. The Artec Eva and Sense light-scanners require wired
111 connection to a laptop or PC whilst the Structure Sensor is mounted on an iPad and the Scandy Pro is
112 an App based on the iPhone. The Structure Sensor was considered to perform the best in pilot trials
113 comparing the different systems for this application.

114

115 Images were obtained using a Structure Sensor (ST01) mounted on an 11inch iPad Pro with the
116 Structure Sensor Bracket (Model SA21) (Occipital Inc, Boulder, Colorado, 80302, USA). Images from
117 the iPad were fed to Skanect Pro Software (V1.1, Occipital Inc) running on a Lenovo Ideapad 500
118 laptop. Connection between the iPad and the laptop was via a 300Mbps nano-router in AP mode
119 connected to the laptop (TL-MR3020 ver 3.2, TP-Link, Unit 2 & 3 Riverview, Cardiff Road, Reading,
120 RG1 8EW, UK). This was found to provide a more stable and reliable connection than connecting
121 directly to the laptop Wi-Fi. The wide-angle lens option supplied with the Structure Sensor was not
122 used as initial trials suggested the iPad standard camera lenses were adequate. The calibration of the
123 Structure Sensor was performed according to the manufacturers' instructions. The settings in the
124 Skanect Software were Recording Feedback: CPU; Feedback Quality: Low; Offline Recording: Key
125 Frames; Force QVGA: ON; Track Loss Detection: On; Uplink Mode: Depth & Colour; Uplink Colour
126 Gain: Locked on Record. The specific scan settings were set as: Scene: Body; Bounding Box: 1.8
127 metres; Aspect Ratio: Normal. Scanning was initiated and terminated on the iPad.

128

129 3D light-scan collection

130

131 A consistent scanning protocol was used to obtain each image. The horses were brought into a familiar
132 large room (dimensions: 10m x 10m) with minimal natural light and lit by fluorescent strip lighting.
133 The room was approximately 50m from the horses' stables. All horses had undertaken training ~4h
134 before scanning. White polystyrene hemispherical markers (20mm diameter; Pllieay,
135 Wangtanggongyequ Xingao Road, Nanshan District, Shenzhen Guangdong 518055 CN) were attached
136 using double sided carpet tape (3M, Saint Paul, Minnesota, USA) to the caudal aspect of the left and
137 right scapula (identified by palpation) with the horse stood square/fore and hind feet parallel. A third
138 marker was attached to the midline of the spine at the cranio-caudal level of T18, identified by palpation
139 from the last rib. The handler was instructed to hold the horse straight and with the head in a neutral
140 position. All marker placement and light-scans were undertaken by the same author (DM) in one single
141 session and initiated with the iPad which was held with the camera facing the right-side of the horse at
142 a distance of approximately 1.5m, with the middle of the iPad at the height of the back. This resulted in
143 the whole of the horse being in the field of view, except for the head and upper neck. Whilst recording,
144 the operator moved towards the horse raising the iPad up by approximately 50cm to image the back.
145 The iPad was then lowered to the height of the horse's back and whilst the operator walked around the
146 back of the horse to view the left-side. The iPad was moved slowly in order to capture continuously
147 and took around 20 seconds to complete a full scan which included the limb above the carpus and hock,
148 the quarters, trunk and lower neck (Figure 2). If a horse moved during the light-scan, the light-scan was
149 terminated and repeated. After each light-scan the quality of the image was viewed on the laptop prior

150 to acceptance. After each successful light-scan, horses were walked in a small circle (10m approximate
151 diameter) and repositioned for the next light-scan. Each horse was light-scanned three times.

152

153 Accepted light-scans were saved as Skanect format *.skn files and exported as unprocessed *.stl files
154 for further analysis. The export settings were: Format: STL; Colours: Per-Vertex; Number of Faces:
155 22130; Scale: metres; Colour Space: sRGB. STL files were imported into Meshmixer (V3.5.474, 2017,
156 Autodesk Inc, San Rafael, California, United States) (Valberg *et al.*, 2020) and edited to remove any
157 background and areas not of interest (e.g. lower leg, head and mid-neck). A wireframe was applied to
158 the images, then processed images were saved as Meshmixer files (*.mix). Example images are shown
159 in Figures 1 and 2.

160

161 [Figure 1 & 2]

162

163 3D light-scan analysis:

164 The 3D light-scans were coded and analysed blinded to the horse or number of the repeat. Each image
165 was rotated to the sagittal view and the software's slicing tool was used to divide the shell into three
166 sections (cranial shell, thoracic shell and caudal shell). To achieve this a transversely orientated plane-
167 cut was taken at the level of the marker of the left caudal scapula and a second transverse plane cut was
168 take at the level of the marker at T18. The cranial and caudal shells were then removed to provide a
169 thoracic section. This section was orientated first to the cranial view and then to the caudal view, with
170 a measure of known length provided by the software placed across the shell, saved to export as a jpeg
171 and then transferred to ImageJ (Rueden *et al.*, 2017) for further analysis. Within ImageJ, the measure
172 of known length was used to calibrate and apply a 15cm vertical line from the dorsal midpoint of the
173 shell. The boundary of the border of the horse's transverse profile, and the 15cm vertical line was traced,
174 and the software provided a 2D area of the plane-cut (Figure 3) for the left and the right sides of the
175 image. Total cross-sectional area from the most dorsal point to 15cm ventrally was then calculated at
176 scapula and T18 levels. To test intra-rater reliability, eight light-scans were entered into the sample for
177 repeated measuring but coded and blinded to the primarily analyst (GT). To test inter-rater reliability
178 ten scans were randomly selected for analysis by two further raters (DM / JW).

179

180 [Figure 3]

181

182 Statistical Analysis: Data were initially analysed for cross sectional area difference between sides and
183 horses. Data met the requirements for non-parametric distribution, therefore further non-parametric
184 analyses were undertaken using SPSS statistical package version 26 (SPSS Inc, Chicago,
185 IL). Friedman's analyses assessed intra- and inter-rater (n=3) reliability. Intraclass correlation
186 estimates (ICC) and their 95% confidence intervals (CI) were calculated based on a mean-rating (k =

187 3), absolute-agreement, 2-way mixed-effects model. ICC values less than 0.5, between 0.5 and 0.75,
188 between 0.75 and 0.9, and greater than 0.90 were used to indicate poor, moderate, good, and excellent
189 reliability, respectively (Koo and Li, 2016). To assess for differences between right and left cross-
190 sectional areas at the level of the withers and T18, Wilcoxon signed rank tests were used. For all tests,
191 significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

192

193 **RESULTS**

194 A total of 31 3D light-scans were obtained from the 10 horses and coded to allow blinded review. Nine
195 light-scans were not submitted for further analysis due to incomplete imaging in the thoracic region
196 ($n=5$), or the horse's mane covering the withers region and therefore altering the dimension of the slice
197 ($n=4$). A total of 23 images were used for analysis which included the eight repeated light-scans used
198 for intra-rater testing.

199

200 Intra-tester reliability

201 From the 23 scans, three transverse plane-cuts at the withers level and three at T18 were collected by a
202 single blinded author (GT) and measured three times to collect cross-sectional area data for the left and
203 the right sides at the two levels (withers and T18). There was no significant difference between the
204 three repeated measures of three transverse plane-cuts of the same scan, at the withers level
205 ($\chi^2(2)=5.415$, $p=0.067$; ICC: 0.998; CIs: 0.998-0.999) or between the means of the repeats on each
206 transverse plane-cut ($\chi^2(2)=0.209$, $p=0.901$; ICC: 0.989; CIs: 0.983-0.994). Similarly, no significant
207 differences were found at the level of T18 between the three repeated measures of three transverse slices
208 of the same plane-cut ($\chi^2(2)=0.307$, $p=0.858$; ICC: 0.993; CIs: 0.991-0.995) or between the means of
209 the three repeated measured of three transverse plane-cuts of the same image ($\chi^2(2)=1.870$, $p=0.393$;
210 ICC: 0.983; CIs: 0.973-0.990).

211

212 In contrast, a significant difference between the cross-sectional area of the right and left sides was found,
213 with 69.6% ($n=16$) of scans being larger on the left side at the withers ($t_{(22)}=2.734$, $p=0.012$). However,
214 no significant difference occurred at the level of T18 ($t_{(22)}=-0.731$, $p=0.473$; Right side larger in 60.9%,
215 $n=14$). Repeated measures from the different scans of the same horse did not show any significant
216 difference ($\chi^2(2)=1.286$, $p=0.526$; ICC: 0.759; CIs: 0.429-0.915). Therefore, intra-rater reliability for
217 measuring cross-sectional area of the thoracic profile from 3D light-scans was considered good (ICC
218 values between 0.75 and 0.9) to excellent (ICC values greater than 0.90) (Koo and Li, 2016).

219

220 Inter-tester reliability

221 While reliability was reduced to moderate between the three raters ($p=0.02$; ICC: 0.70; CIs: 0.56-0.82),
222 no significant differences occurred between the assessors ($n=2$) who were experienced in using the

223 software ($p=0.88$; ICC: 0.90; CIs: 0.82-0.95). Inter-rater reliability with experienced analysts was
224 therefore considered to be good (Koo and Li, 2016).

225

226 **DISCUSSION**

227

228 The reliability of measurements of thoracic profile cross-sectional area from images obtained from 3D
229 light-scanning was simple and non-time consuming and was shown to be good to excellent with a single
230 rater, and good between two experienced raters. The application of this technology could be an
231 alternative to the FCR for the thoracic region, plus provide data capture for analysis in additional regions
232 of the horse's spinal profile. Although requiring access to the equipment (Ipad and camera) the
233 additional benefit is the tool being non-invasive and non-contact.

234

235 While no significant difference occurred at T18, significant differences in the size of the left and right
236 sides of the plane-cuts were found at withers level. As the horses were stood stationary, with the feet
237 parallel and their head in a neutral position these asymmetries are thought to reflect actual size
238 differences in the profile at this thoracic level. In Greve and Dyson's (2013) study of back dimensions
239 in sports horses, 41.3% had asymmetries, in this study a higher proportion of light-scans showed
240 asymmetry. All ten horses were sound and training and competing at CEI*** FEI level. Further studies
241 into trunk profile asymmetry with concurrent inertial motion sensor kinematic analysis of gait
242 asymmetry would be of value to assess for underlying reasons for these differences. A large proportion
243 of horses in ridden work are reported to movement asymmetries above previously reported asymmetry
244 thresholds during straight line trot (Rhodin *et al.*, 2017), the extent of thoracic profile asymmetry at the
245 time of gait analysis, or over a longitudinal period would be of interest to document potential
246 compensatory changes.

247

248 At this stage the measurements, whilst showing reliability, do not infer validity (Mokkink *et al.*, 2010).
249 However, the repeated measures from horses that were repositioned suggests that this tool could be
250 useful for assessing longer-term change in thoracic profile. Continued exploration of reliable and valid
251 outcome measures, which can be integrated into routine assessment of horse's backs provides scope for
252 equine professionals such as saddlers and physiotherapists to have records of changes over time linked
253 to training, development or rehabilitation (Tabor *et al.*, 2020).

254

255 The FCR has been used to detect changes in shape of the epaxial region (Greve and Dyson, 2013;
256 Mackechnie-Guire *et al.*, 2018), but this does require manual contact with the horse and is more time-
257 consuming at the point of data collection. However, there is the potential for errors to occur in the
258 process of transferring the shape from an FCR onto paper (Shakeshaft and Tabor, 2020), as well as
259 fatigue of the FCR materials (Greve and Dyson, 2013). If 3D light scanning is taken forward to be tested

260 against these previously validated tools it could be used to gather data on back shape changes over time.
261 The advantage of the 3D light-scanning method is that once the light-scan is stored electronically, any
262 region of the image can be assessed for dimensions such as the profile of the hindquarter muscle bulk
263 or at spinal level. This may be of interest if outcomes from interventions such a surgery for kissing
264 spines are being documented. Understanding that spinal pathologies do present with atrophy of epaxial
265 muscles (Coomer *et al.*, 2012), the rehabilitation monitoring could include thoracic profile recording.
266 3D light scanning also has wider potential benefits; it can be used to capture data from the whole horse
267 to form complete records, such as the dimensions of the limbs and any swelling (Johnson and Symons,
268 2019), and therefore could be seen as a more complete method to document ongoing changes in a horse
269 than the FCR. The digital nature of the data could also allow for software development to analyse and
270 contrast different light-scans to offer ongoing objectivity during clinical assessment.

271

272 Limitations

273 Once the 3D light-scans were collected and assessed *post-hoc*, a number were rejected for inclusion due
274 to either the shell being incomplete or the horse's mane affecting the external shape of the shell. This
275 needs to be addressed at the time of data collection but with further training and skills development as
276 well as increased experience of the operator, this should not limit future studies. It should also be noted
277 that external methods of documenting the thoracic profile of horses do not reflect change in the deeper
278 musculature such at the multifidus muscle (Tabor, Johannson and Randle, 2012). However, other
279 methods such as the FCR do not present this benefit either, and more laborious and expensive
280 ultrasound-imaging is required for this (Stubbs *et al.*, 2010). The light-scans collected for this study, as
281 a proof-of-concept trial, were not compared to a gold standard ultrasound imaging or with the FCR. As
282 the reliability of the process from collecting the light-scan to measurement has been shown to be
283 good/excellent the validity of the tool can now be assessed. As part of this process the ability to detect
284 changes in dimension of the thoracic profile, over time, could also be assessed

285

286 CONCLUSION

287 These results suggest 3D light-scanning is an objective, non-invasive method to record size and
288 symmetry of the thoracic epaxial region in horses, and this data collection method now warrants validity
289 testing against gold-standard imaging to support future use in clinical practice. Reliability of repeated
290 measurements of thoracic profile cross-sectional area and symmetry acquired from 3D light-scans were
291 shown to be good to excellent with a single rater and moderate to excellent with multiple experienced
292 raters.

293

294 REFERENCES

295 Abe, T., Kearns, C.F. and Rogers, B., 2012. Reliability of ultrasound-measured muscle thickness of the
296 longissimus dorsi muscle in thoroughbreds. *Comparative Exercise Physiology*, 8(3-4), pp.189-194.

- 297 Barstow, A. and Dyson, S., 2015. Clinical features and diagnosis of sacroiliac joint region pain in 296
298 horses: 2004–2014. *Equine Veterinary Education*, 27(12), pp.637-647.
- 299 Coomer, R.P., McKane, S.A., Smith, N. and Vandeweerd, J.M.E., 2012. A controlled study evaluating
300 a novel surgical treatment for kissing spines in standing sedated horses. *Veterinary Surgery*, 41(7),
301 pp.890-897.
- 302 Greve, L. and Dyson, S.J., 2013. An investigation of the relationship between hindlimb lameness and
303 saddle slip. *Equine Veterinary Journal*, 45(5), pp.570-577.
- 304 Greve, L., Murray, R. and Dyson, S., 2015. Subjective analysis of exercise-induced changes in back
305 dimensions of the horse: The influence of saddle-fit, rider skill and work quality. *The Veterinary*
306 *Journal*, 206(1), pp.39-46.
- 307 Johnson, S. and Symons, J., 2019. Measuring volumetric changes of equine distal limbs: A pilot study
308 examining jumping exercise. *Animals*, 9(10), p.751.
- 309 Koo, T.K. and Li, M.Y., 2016. A guideline of selecting and reporting intraclass correlation coefficients
310 for reliability research. *Journal of chiropractic medicine*, 15(2), pp.155-163.
- 311 Lindner, A., Signorini, R., Vassallo, J., Tomatis, F., Flores, F.M., Gagliano, M.E., Curiotti, J. and
312 Terragona, E., 2010. Reproducibility and repeatability of equine muscle thickness measurements with
313 ultrasound. *Journal of Equine Veterinary Science*, 30(11), pp.635-640.
- 314 Mackechnie-Guire, R., Mackechnie-Guire, E., Bush, R., Wyatt, R., Fisher, D., Fisher, M. and Cameron,
315 L., 2018. A controlled, blinded study investigating the effect that a 20-minute cycloidal vibration has
316 on whole horse locomotion and thoracolumbar profiles. *Journal of Equine Veterinary Science*, 71,
317 pp.84-89.
- 318 Mookink, L., Terwee, C., Patrick, D., Alonso, J., Stratford, P., Knol, D., Bouter, L. and de Vet, H. 2010.
319 The COSMIN study reached international consensus on taxonomy, terminology, and definitions of
320 measurement properties for health-related patient-reported outcomes. *Journal of Clinical*
321 *Epidemiology*. 63(7), pp.737-745.
- 322 de Oliveira, K., Soutello, R.V., da Fonseca, R., Costa, C., Paulo, R.D.L., Fachioli, D.F. and Clayton,
323 H.M., 2015. Gymnastic training and dynamic mobilization exercises improve stride quality and increase
324 epaxial muscle size in therapy horses. *Journal of Equine Veterinary Science*, 35(11-12), pp.888-893.
- 325 Rhodin, M., Egenvall, A., Haubro Andersen, P. and Pfau, T., 2017. Head and pelvic movement
326 asymmetries at trot in riding horses in training and perceived as free from lameness by the owner. *PLoS*
327 *One*, 12(4), p.e0176253.
- 328 Rueden, C. T. Schindelin, J. & Hiner, M. C. et al. 2017, "ImageJ2: ImageJ for the next generation of
329 scientific image data", *BMC Bioinformatics* 18:529
- 330 Shakeshaft A, Tabor G. 2020 The Effect of a Physiotherapy Intervention on Thoracolumbar Posture in
331 Horses. *Animals*. Nov;10(11):1977.

- 332 Stubbs, N.C., Riggs, C.M., Hodges, P.W., Jeffcott, L.B., Hodgson, D.R., Clayton, H.M. and Mc Gowan,
333 C.M., 2010. Osseous spinal pathology and epaxial muscle ultrasonography in Thoroughbred
334 racehorses. *Equine Veterinary Journal*, 42, pp.654-661.
- 335 Tabor, G., 2015. The effect of dynamic mobilisation exercises on the equine multifidus muscle and
336 thoracic profile.
- 337 Tabor, G.F., Johansson, C. and Randle, H. 2012. A comparison of measurement techniques of thoracic
338 epaxial musculature in the horse. Ninth International Conference of Equine Exercise Physiology,
339 Liverpool, U.K.
- 340 Tabor, G., Nankervis, K., Fernandes, J. and Williams, J., 2020. Generation of domains for the equine
341 musculoskeletal rehabilitation outcome score: Development by expert consensus. *Animals*, 10(2),
342 p.203.
- 343 Tabor, G. and Williams, J., 2018. The use of outcome measures in equine rehabilitation. *The Veterinary
344 Nurse*, 9(9), pp.497-500.
- 345 Valberg, S.J., Borer Matsui, A.K., Firshman, A.M., Bookbinder, L., Katzman, S.A. and Finno, C.J.,
346 2020. 3 Dimensional photonic scans for measuring body volume and muscle mass in the standing
347 horse. *PloS one*, 15(2), p.e0229656.
- 348 Walker, V.A., Tranquille, C.A., Dyson, S.J., Spear, J. and Murray, R.C., 2016. Association of a
349 subjective muscle score with increased angles of flexion during sitting trot in dressage horses. *Journal
350 of Equine Veterinary Science*, 40, pp.6-15.
- 351 Wells, J.C.K., Ruto, A. and Treleaven, P., 2008. Whole-body three-dimensional photonic scanning: a
352 new technique for obesity research and clinical practice. *International Journal of Obesity*, 32(2),
353 pp.232-238.